

CITY OF POMONA PLANNING COMMISSION REPORT

DATE: April 26, 2017

TO: Chairman and Members of the Planning Commission

FROM: **Planning Division**

SUBJECT: SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDMENT (SPA 6114-2016), TENTATIVE TRACT

> MAP 74696 (PARCELMAP 5850-2016) & DEVELOPMENT PLAN **REVIEW (DPR 6535-2016):** A request for a Specific Plan Amendment to allow property within the PCSP Urban Neighborhood Expansion Zone that is not contiguous with Pomona Corridor Specific Plan (PCSP) area property to develop under the PCSP Urban Neighborhood Expansion Zone development standards, Tentative Parcel Map 74696 to consolidate two lots into one, and Development Plan Review to allow construction of a 35-unit multi-family residential development at two and three stories in height on property that is approximately 2.24 acres in size. The subject site is located at 203 Myrtle Avenue in the R-2-PD (Low Density Multiple Family Planned Development) zoning district and also identified within the PCSP Urban Neighborhood Expansion Zone.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission recommend City Council approval of Specific Plan Amendment (SPA 6114-2016), Tentative Parcel Map 74696 (PARCELMAP 5850-2016), and Development Plan Review (DPR 6535-2016) (Attachment 1-3).

PROJECT/APPLICANT INFORMATION

Project Location: 203 Myrtle Avenue APN Information: 8348-011-024 and 025

Project Applicant: Stephen Pincin Property Owner: 203 Myrtle, LLC. **CC District:** District #1 Historic/CBD: Not Applicable

Specific Plan: Not Applicable

PROJECT DESCRIPTION & BACKGROUND

The subject project site is located on a property at 203 Myrtle Avenue in the Pomona Corridors Specific Plan (PCSP) Urban Neighborhood Expansion zone and also identified in the R-2-PD (Low Density Multiple Family with Plan Development Overlay) zone. The subject site is

SPA 6114-2016, PARCELMAP 5850-2016, DPR 6535-2016 203 Myrtle Avenue Page 2 of 9

approximately 2.24 acres in size and currently sits vacant (Attachment 5). The applicant is proposing the development of 35 multiple-family residential units. The residential units range from two to three bedrooms at 1,102 square feet and 1,366 square feet in floor area respectively. The project is providing 59 (46 standard, 10 compact, and 3 accessible) surface off-street parking spaces, and 20 off-street parking spaces provided in enclosed garages, and for a total of 91 offstreet parking spaces. The proposed project consists of three freestanding buildings. Buildings A and B are three stories in height are oriented with frontage facing Myrtle Avenue. The first floor units have porches with access from Myrtle Avenue. The remaining units on the second and third floors are provided with entries that face the rear of the property. Buildings A and B are comprised of 9 units each for a total of 18 units. Building C is a "U" shaped building and is two stories in height and located behind buildings A and B and is oriented to a courtyard. A portion of building C are provided with enclosed garages that face the north and south property lines and covered parking facing the rear property line. Building C has 20 enclosed and 12 covered off-street parking spaces provided. Building C is comprised of a total of 17 units between two stories. The applicant is also proposing a tentative parcel map to consolidate two lots into one to comprehensively delineate the site into one lot and a specific plan amendment requesting approval to allow property within the PCSP Urban Neighborhood Expansion Zone but is not contiguous with PCSP area property to develop under the PCSP Urban Neighborhood Expansion Zone development on the subject site.

Another version of this project which lacked compliance with the PCSP Urban Neighborhood Expansion Zone development standards was considered by the Planning Commission on March 22, 2017. The Planning Commission unanimously voted to deny recommending that the City Council approve the project because it lacked compliance with the PCSP requirements by proposing a courtyard not consistent with the PCSP development standards, did not provide the public open space as required by the PCSP, proposed a gated community which is discouraged by the PCSP and General Plan, provided surface parking lot – rear type that is not consistent with the PCSP, and did not provide the minimum off-street parking space required. The applicant has redesigned the project to remediate the deficiencies identified above to comply with the PCSP and General Plan.

Applicable Code Sections

Pursuant to Zoning Ordinance Section .584-J, a specific plan may be amended in the same manner that it was adopted. Specific plan amendments are subject to the same five findings as those that pertain to initial specific plan approval.

PCSP Section 2.0.5 establishes the procedure for a Development Plan Review and Parcel Map applications. The Development Services Director has the authority to approve, conditionally approve or deny a Development Plan, while the Planning Commission has the authority for approving parcel maps and the City Council has approving authority when a tentative and final subdivision map is required under the state subdivision map act. Due to the proposed project requiring a Specific Plan Amendment to be ultimately approved by the City Council, it was staff's assessment that associated applications filed in conjunction with the proposed project

SPA 6114-2016, PARCELMAP 5850-2016, DPR 6535-2016 203 Myrtle Avenue Page 3 of 9

(DPR 6535-2016 and PARCELMAP 5850-2016) should be elevated to the City Council as the approving authority for the proposed project.

Surrounding Land Use Information

The subject site is generally located on the west side of Myrtle Avenue, directly north of the Union Pacific Railroad tracks, to the west of Hamilton Boulevard and to the south of Monterey Street. The subject site currently sits vacant. The properties that surround the site are developed with a combination of single and multiple-family residential developments and vacant parcels. South of the tracks are primarily industrial uses with a few nonconforming residential uses. The following table provides zoning information for the surrounding properties:

Land Use Summary Table

Land Ose Summary Table							
	Existing Land Use	Zoning Designation	General Plan Designation				
Subject Site (Existing)	Vacant	R-2-PD and PCSP Urban Neighborhood Expansion Zone	Urban Neighborhood (T4-A)				
North	Single and Multiple Family Residential	R-2 and PCSP Urban Neighborhood Expansion Zone	Urban Neighborhood (T4-A)				
South	Industrial storage/sales	M-1-S	Urban Neighborhood (T4-A)				
East	Multiple-Family Residential, Single-Family Residential and vacant land	R-2	Urban Neighborhood (T4-A)				
West	Mobile Home Park	R-2	Urban Neighborhood (T4-A)				

ZONING COMPLIANCE ANALYSIS

Site Development Standards

The applicant is proposing to construct 35 multiple-family residential units under the PCSP Urban Neighborhood Expansion Zone development standards and not the R-2-PD (Low Density Multiple Family with Planned Development Overlay) zone. The 35 unit multiple-family residential development is proposed to be consistent with the General Plan's maximum allowable

SPA 6114-2016, PARCELMAP 5850-2016, DPR 6535-2016 203 Myrtle Avenue Page 4 of 9

density of 70 dwelling units per acre. The table below details the development standards of the PCSP's Urban Neighborhood Expansion Zone and the project's compliance with the standards.

Project Summary Table

Development Standard	PCSP Requirements	Proposed Project	Compliance Determination
Number of Units	None	35 units	Yes
Density (Per General Plan)	Up to 70 units/acre	16 units/acre	Yes
Building Height	2 stories minimum 4 stories maximum	Building A and B $- 3$ stories Building C and D $- 2$ stories	Yes
Building Length	Special Building Length Limits – Limit Corner Building – Maximum 120 feet	130 feet	No**
Building Massing	2L:3H to 5L:2H Length of longest volume to range from 21ft. to 78 ft. at 31'-6" height	Longest volume - Volume E 26.5 ft. length to 31'-6" height	Yes
Building Orientation to Streets and Public Open Space	Required	Provided with entry porch oriented to Myrtle Ave	Yes
Setbacks: Front Side Rear	Front - 5ft min.to 15 ft. max. Side – 10 ft. min. with living space windows Rear – 10 ft. min.	15 feet 11'-6"-12 feet 55 feet	Yes Yes Yes
Frontage Coverage	70% minimum	79% proposed	Yes
Space between buildings	20 feet	46 feet (building A and B) 40 feet (Building A and B to C)	Yes
Build to Corner	Required	Provided	Yes
Public Open Space	150 sf/unit, 5,400 sf total	6,340 sf	No
Private Open Space	60 sf unit	60-90 sf	Yes
Public Open Space Type	Courtyard – spatially defined by buildings on three sides	Courtyard with buildings on three sides	Yes

Development Standard	PCSP Requirements	Proposed Project	Compliance Determination
Private Open Space Type	Porch, private yard, or balcony	Porch, private yard, and balcony	Yes
Setback Area landscaping types	Perimeter block sidewalk extension required Interior block setback areas – groundcover and moderate screening required	Compliance to be provided during plan check process	Yes*
Parking	2 bedroom – 2 spaces required 3 bedroom – 2.5 spaces required 1 guest space per 4 units 87.5 spaces total required	91 spaces proposed	Yes
Parking Types	Surface Lot- Rear Rear Parking lots should be screened from the street	Surface lot – Rear with parking spaces screened from the street	Yes
Driveway width	12 foot per one lane driveway	20 feet entry 12 feet exit	Yes
Fences	Rear-Side: 6 foot high wall/fence	6 foot high fence/wall	Yes

^{*}Condition of approval

Circulation, Access & Parking

Access to the subject site will be provided along Myrtle Avenue (Attachment 5). There will be one vehicular driveway for entry, at 20 feet in width, and one vehicular driveway for exit, at 12 feet in width. Access to the surface parking spaces and enclosed parking lot will be provided via the main site driveways. The project will provide a total of 91 off-street parking stalls, 20 of which are provided in enclosed garages, 12 are covered and the remaining 59 spaces (46 standard, 10 compact, and 3 accessible) are surface parking stalls. A total of 87.5 spaces are required. The proposed project now exceeds the minimum number of off-street parking stalls by 3.5 stalls.

Additionally, the project now meets the parking types allowed in the Urban Neighborhood Expansion zone of the PCSP for a rear surface parking lot, which is described as a "parking lot

^{**}PCSP 2.0.5.F: 20% Deviation request as allowed by PCSP

SPA 6114-2016, PARCELMAP 5850-2016, DPR 6535-2016 203 Myrtle Avenue Page 6 of 9

where a building is located between the parking lot and the street, does not extend beyond the rear wall of the primary building into any side yard setback. Rear parking lots should be screened from the street." In this instance, the precise definition of a rear surface parking lot is met in that the off-street parking spaces are behind buildings and are not visible from Myrtle Avenue. Additionally, a gate that was previously proposed along the vehicular entry of the proposed project has been eliminated. The proposal was also reviewed by the City of Pomona Public Works Department, who determined that the location and the proposed project did not generate enough vehicle trips to warrant a traffic study.

Architectural Elevations

The proposed project is being designed in a Spanish Revival style of architecture (Attachment 5). The building features finishes that consist of a variety of color and material, including smooth plaster finish, stone veneer at the base of the building, window shutters, red tile roofing, and wood trellises. Articulation is further provided through the use of porches and balconies that project outward with roof overhangs and building walls of varying heights. Decorative wrought iron is provided along balconies and used in some window treatments. Conditions of approval have been included to ensure that the exterior doors and garage doors are consistent with the architecture of the proposed project. While the architecture of the proposed project are in substantial compliance with the PCSP, any areas the project that are deficient in meeting PCSP standards will still be required through the plan check process.

Landscaping

The applicant has submitted a conceptual landscape design for consideration (Attachment 5). The applicant will be providing various forms of landscaping dispersed throughout the project site to include trees, shrubs, ground cover, and other vegetation to the standards of the PCSP.

Public Open Space

The applicant has designed the project to meet the minimum area required for public open space, which the PCSP defines as "public or semi-public outdoor spaces designed to facilitate community formation, interaction, relaxation, and contemplation through public gathering, activity, recreation, and/or leisure". Now that the current version of the project does not propose a gated community, the public open space proposed will not be accessible to the public. Further, the design of this open space area is designed as a courtyard plaza, which the PCSP defines for public opens spaces as "spatially defined by buildings on at least three sides". As proposed, the applicant has redesigned the project to meet the definition for courtyard plaza with the third side of a building. It is staff's assessment that proposed project does now meet the precise definition of courtyard plaza for Public Open Spaces.

ISSUES ANALYSIS

SPA 6114-2016, PARCELMAP 5850-2016, DPR 6535-2016 203 Myrtle Avenue Page 7 of 9

Issue 1: General Plan

The proposed multiple-family residential development conforms to the General Plan with the "Urban Neighborhood" land use designation in the T4-A Transect zone as shown on the General Plan Land Use Diagram. Furthermore, the project will develop a site that has sat vacant for many years into an economically viable and aesthetically pleasing development, which is consistent with the following goals of the General Plan:

"Establish areas that can successfully transition into mixed-use neighborhoods with a strong residential character by supporting high quality, multi-family housing" (Goal 6E.G)

The proposed project fulfills the intent of the General Plan in that the land use is consistent with the surrounding mix of single and multiple family housing and is designed to be visually appealing and pedestrian friendly. Now that the project is not proposed as a gated community, it is also now consistent with the General Plan goal 7D.P18, which states "discourage gated entrances."

Issue 2: Zoning Ordinance Compliance

Staff has evaluated the proposed site design and has determined that the proposed development is in conformance with the development standards of the PCSP Urban Neighborhood Expansion. Additionally, the applicant is requesting a building length of Building A and B that exceed the maximum allowable length for corner properties by 10 feet as illustrated in the development standards chart illustrated above. Deviations, up to 20% of any single standard may be considered by the City.

Issue 3: Land Use Compatibility

The proposed multi-family residential use is consistent with the surrounding single and multi-family residential uses in the immediate vicinity. The neighborhood is primarily residential and the proposed use is consistent with the surrounding uses. The project is designed as multiple-family residential is consistent with the neighboring mobile home, multiple and single-family residential developments. The project has proposed architecture and a mix of landscaping that will complement the neighborhood. Although the proposed project abuts the Union Pacific railroad tracks, a condition of approval has been included which requires residential units to be provided with triple paned windows. As a result, the proposed project is designed and conditioned to be compatible with the surrounding land uses.

Issue 4: Specific Plan Amendment

SPA 6114-2016, PARCELMAP 5850-2016, DPR 6535-2016 203 Myrtle Avenue Page 8 of 9

In order to accommodate the project proposal, the applicant is requesting a Specific Plan Amendment (SPA 6114-2016). The PCSP states that a "developer may submit a request for Urban Neighborhood...Expansion Zone regulation to be applied to any property that is within...the Expansion Zone and is contiguous with a property within the Plan Area", however "Expansion Zone applications may be approved without a Plan Amendment". While the subject site is located within the Urban Neighborhood Expansion Zone, it is not contiguous with the PCSP parcels. The subject site is approximately five lots away from the Downtown Gateway Segment of the PCSP. In considering the SPA for the subject site, it is staff's assessment that the PCSP development standards of the Urban Neighborhood Expansion zone are appropriate for development of the property and the SPA is a procedural application due to the location of the subject site being noncontiguous with a Downtown Gateway Segment of the PCSP. By allowing the SPA, the City is able to use the PCSP as a tool to evaluate proposed land uses and development within the SPA Area and in so doing advance the General Plan vision for the subject site. The requirement of being contiguous to a PCSP to apply the PCSP development standards is in place to allow the orderly development of the Corridors without allowing inconsistent or incompatible developments to be interspersed throughout portions of expansion zone segments.

ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS/DETERMINATION

Upon submittal of the project, staff reviewed the proposed project in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) guidelines. After examining the proposed project, staff has determined that the proposed project meets the criteria for a Class 32 Categorical Exemption pursuant to Section 15332, (In-Fill Development Projects) of CEQA. The proposed project is consistent with the City's General Plan and Zoning Ordinance; the proposed project site is less than five (5) acres; the project site has no value as habitat for endangered, rare or threatened species; the proposed project will not have any significant effects upon the environment; and the site can adequately be served by all required utilities and public services. Therefore, based on the above findings, staff is recommending that the Planning Commission adopt a Categorical Exemption for the proposed project.

PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS

A copy of the public hearing notice was published in the Inland Valley Daily Bulletin on April 13, 2017 and was sent to the owners of properties within a 1,000-foot radius of the subject site on April 13, 2017 (Attachment 7). The applicant also posted a public hearing notice for the proposed project on the subject site. The applicant also held a community meeting on March 11, 2017 with residents within the neighborhood. At the March 22, 2017 Planning Commission meeting, the Planning Commission did hear from neighbors who opposed and supported the project.

CONCLUSION

The project as revised is now consistent with the General Plan and Pomona Corridors Specific Plan Urban Neighborhood Expansion Zone. Staff is supportive of the project as revised by

SPA 6114-2016, PARCELMAP 5850-2016, DPR 6535-2016 203 Myrtle Avenue Page 9 of 9

increasing the number of parking spaces, removing the gates from the driveway, creating a building on the third side of the open space element and removing the visible parking stalls from the front view of the project. The proposed project is compatible with the existing surrounding land uses of single and multiple family developments. The Planning Division recommends that the Planning Commission recommend City Council approval of Specific Plan Amendment (SPA 6114-2016), Tentative Parcel Map 74696 (PARCELMAP 5850-2016), and Development Plan Review (DPR 6535-2016) (Attachment 1-3). Should the Planning Commission deny recommendation that the City Council approve Specific Plan Amendment (SPA 6114-2016), Tentative Parcel Map 74696 (PARCELMAP 5850-2016), and Development Plan Review (DPR 6535-2016), draft resolutions for this denial will be available for the Planning Commission to adopt at the Planning Commission hearing on April 26, 2017.

Prepared By:

Brad Johnson Nancy Lee

Development Services Manager Associate Planner

ATTACHMENTS:

- 1) Draft PC Resolution for Specific Plan Amendment (SPA 6114-2016)
- 2) Draft PC Resolution for Tentative Parcel Map 74696 (PARCELMAP 5850-2016)
- 3) Draft PC Resolution for Development Plan Review (DPR 6535-2016)
- 4) Location Map and Aerial Photograph
- 5) Project Plans and Exhibits (reductions)
- 6) Site Photographs
- 7) 1,000' Radius Map and Public Hearing Notice
- 8) Full Size Plans (Separate Cover)

\\STORAGE\CH-Depts\Planning\Master Planning\PLANNING COMMISSION\Staff Reports\Specific Plan Amendments\203 Myrtle Avenue (SPA 6114-2016, DPR 6535-2016, PARCELMAP 5850-2016) 4-26-17.doc